Chandra-Furst-Lipton

Yaël Dillies

September 30, 2024

Multidimensional corners

Let G be a finite abelian group whose size we will denote N. Let $d \ge 2$ a natural number.

Definition 1.1 (Forgetting a coordinate). For an index i : [d], we define

$$\operatorname{forget}_{i}: G^{d} \to G^{\{j:[d]|j \neq i\}}$$

$$(1.1)$$

$$x \mapsto j \mapsto x_j \tag{1.2}$$

Definition 1.2 (Forbidden pattern). We say a tuple $(a_1, ..., a_d) : (G^d)^d$ is a forbidden pattern with tip $v : G^d$ if

$$a_{i,j} = v_j$$

for all i, j distinct. We also simply say $(a_1, ..., a_d)$ is a forbidden pattern if it is a forbidden pattern with tip v for some v.

Definition 1.3 (Multidimensional corner).

A multidimensional corner in d dimensions is a tuple of the form $(x, x + ce_1, ..., x + ce_d)$ for some $x : G^d$ and c : G, where ce_i is the vector of all zeroes except in position i where it is c. Such a corner is said to be trivial if c = 0.

Definition 1.4 (Corner-free number).

The d-dimensional corner-free number of G, denoted $r_d(G)$ is the size of the largest set A in G^d such that A doesn't contain a non-trivial corner.

Definition 1.5 (Corner-coloring number).

The d-dimensional corner-coloring number of G, denoted $\chi_d(G)$, is the smallest number of colors one needs to color G^d such that no non-trivial d-dimensional corner is monochromatic.

Lemma 1.6 (Lower bound on the corner-coloring number).

$$r_d(G)\chi_d(G) \ge N^d$$

Proof. Find a coloring of G^d in $\chi_d(G)$ colors without non-trivial monochromatic *d*-dimensional corners. The coloring partitions G^d into $\chi_d(G)$ sets of size at most $r_d(G)$.

Lemma 1.7 (Upper bound on the corner-coloring number).

$$r_d(G)\chi_d(G) \le 2dN^d \log N$$

Proof. Find A a corner-free set of density $\alpha = r_d(G)/N^d$. If we pick $m > d \log N/\alpha$ translates of A randomly, then the expected number of elements not covered by any translate is

$$N^d (1-\alpha)^m \le \exp(dN - m\alpha) < 1$$

Namely, there is some collection of m translates of A that covers all of G^d . Since being corner-free is translation-invariant, this cover by translates gives a coloring in m colors without non-trivial monochromatic corners. So

$$\chi_d(G) \leq m \leq 2d \log N/\alpha = 2dN^d \log N/r_d(G)$$

if we set eg $m = \lfloor d \log N / \alpha \rfloor + 1$.

The NOF model

Let G be a finite abelian group whose size we will denote d. Let $d \ge 3$ a natural number.

Definition 2.1 (NOF protocol). A NOF protocol P consists of maps

strat :
$$[d] \to G^{d-1} \to \text{List Bool} \to \text{Bool}$$
 (2.1)

guess:
$$[d] \to G^{d-1} \to \text{List Bool} \to \text{Bool}$$
 (2.2)

We will not make P part of any notation as it is usually fixed from the context.

Definition 2.2 (NOF broadcast).

Given a NOF protocol P, the **NOF** broadcast on input $x : G^d$ is inductively defined by

broad
$$(x) : \mathbb{N} \to \text{List Bool}$$
 (2.3)

$$0 \mapsto [] \tag{2.4}$$

$$t + 1 \mapsto \operatorname{strat}_{t \% d}(\operatorname{forget}_{t \% d}(x), \operatorname{broad}(x, t)) :: \operatorname{broad}(x, t)$$

$$(2.5)$$

Lemma 2.3 (Length of a broadcast). For every NOF protocol P, every input $x : G^d$ and every time t, broad(x,t) has length t.

Proof. Induction on t.

Definition 2.4 (Valid NOF protocol).

Given a function $F: G^d \to \text{Bool}$, the NOF protocol P is valid in F at time t on input x if all participants correctly guess F(x), namely if

$$\mathrm{guess}_i(\mathrm{forget}_i(x),\mathrm{broad}(x,t))=F(x)$$

for all i : [d].

Definition 2.5 (The trivial protocol).

For all F, we define the **trivial protocol** by making participant i do "Send the t/d-th bit of the number of participant i + 1" and "Compute x_i from the binary representation given by participant i - 1, then compute F(x)".

Lemma 2.6 (The trivial protocol is valid).

For all F, the trivial protocol for F is valid in time $d \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$.

Proof. Obvious.

Definition 2.7 (Deterministic complexity of a protocol).

The communication complexity of a NOF protocol P for F is the smallest time t such that P is valid in F at time t on all inputs x, or ∞ if no such t exists.

Definition 2.8 (Deterministic complexity of a function).

The deterministic communication complexity of a function F, denoted D(F), is the minimum of the communication complexity of P when P ranges over all NOF protocols.

Lemma 2.9 (Trivial bound on the function complexity).

The communication complexity of any function F is at most $d \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$.

Proof.

The trivial protocol is a protocol valid in F in time $d \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$.

Lemma 2.10 (The tip of a monochromatic forbidden pattern).

Given P a NOF protocol and a time t, if $(a_1, ..., a_d)$ is a forbidden pattern with tip v such that $broad(a_i, t)$ equals some fixed broadcast history b for all i, then broad(v, t) = b as well.

Proof.

Induction on t. TODO: Expand

Lower bound on the communication complexity of eval

Definition 3.1 (eval function). The eval function is defined by

$$eval: G^d \to Bool \tag{3.1}$$

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & if \sum_{i} x_{i} = 0\\ 0 & else \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

Lemma 3.2 (Forbidden patterns project to multidimensional corners).

If (a_1, \ldots, a_d) is a forbidden pattern such that $eval(a_i) = 1$ for all i, then

$$(\text{forget}_i(a_1), \dots, \text{forget}_i(a_d))$$

is a multidimensional corner for all index i.

Proof. Let v be the tip of (a_1, \ldots, a_d) . Then, using that $\sum_k a_{j,k} = 0$ and $v_k = a_{j,k}$ for all $k \neq j$, we see that $v_j = a_{j,j} + \sum_k v_k$. This means that $(\text{forget}_i(a_1), \ldots, \text{forget}_i(a_d)$ is a multidimensional corner by setting $x = \text{forget}_i(a_i)$ and $c = \sum_k v_k$.

Lemma 3.3 (Monochromatic forbidden patterns are trivial).

Given P a NOF protocol valid in time t for eval, all monochromatic forbidden patterns are trivial.

Proof.

Assume (a_1, \ldots, a_d) is a monochromatic forbidden pattern with tip v, say broad $(a_i, t) = b$ for all i. By Lemma 2.10, we also have broad(v, t) = b. Since P is a valid NOF protocol for eval, we get $eval(a_i) = eval(v)$ for all i, meaning that $(a_1, \ldots, a_d) = (v, \ldots, v)$ is trivial.

Theorem 3.4 (Lower bound for D(eval) in terms of $\chi_d(G)$).

$$D(\text{eval}) \ge \lceil \log_2 \chi_d(G) \rceil$$

Proof.

Let P be a protocol valid in time t for eval. By Lemma 3.3, broad (\cdot, t) is a coloring of $\{x \mid \sum_i x_i = 0\}$ in at most 2^t colors (since t bits were broadcasted) such that all monochromatic forbidden patterns are trivial. By Lemma 3.2, this yields a coloring of G^{d-1} in at most 2^t colors such all monochromatic corners are trivial. Hence $2^t \ge \chi_d(G)$, as wanted. \Box

Corollary 3.5 (Lower bound for $D(\mathrm{eval})$ in terms of $r_d(G)).$

$$D(\text{eval}) \ge d \log_2 \frac{N}{r_d(G)}$$

Proof. Putting Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 1.6 together, we get

$$D(\text{eval}) \geq \left\lceil \log_2 \frac{2dN^d \log N}{r_d(G)} \right\rceil \geq d \log_2 \frac{N}{r_d(G)}$$

-	-	_	

Upper bound on the deterministic communication complexity of eval

Definition 4.1 (The non-monochromatic protocol).

Given a coloring $c : \{x \mid \text{eval } x = 1\} \to [C]$, writing a_i the vector whose j-th coordinate is x_j except when j = i in which case it is $-\sum_{j \neq i} x_j$, we define the **non-monochromatic protocol** for c by making participant i do "Send the t/d-th bit of $c(a_i)$ until time $\lceil \log_2 \chi_d(G) \rceil$, then send 1 iff $c(a_i)$ agrees with the broadcast from time 1 to time $\lceil \log_2 \chi_d(G) \rceil$ read as a color" and "Send 1 iff the broadcasts from time $\lceil \log_2 \chi_d(G) \rceil$ to time $\lceil \log_2 \chi_d(G) \rceil + d$ were all 1".

Lemma 4.2 (The non-monochromatic protocol is valid).

If c is a coloring such that all monochromatic forbidden patterns are trivial, then the nonmonochromatic protocol for c is valid in time $\lceil \log_2 \chi_d(G) \rceil + d$.

Proof. We have

answer is 1 \iff all a_i have the same color \iff all a_i are equal $\iff \sum_i x_i = 0$

where the first equivalence holds by definition, the second one holds by assumption and the third one holds since the a_i form a forbidden pattern.

Theorem 4.3 (Upper bound for D(eval) in terms of $\chi_d(G)$).

$$D(\text{eval}) \leq \lceil \log_2 \chi_d(G) \rceil + d$$

Proof.

Using Lemma 3.2, find some coloring c of $\{x \mid \sum_i x_i = 0\}$ in $\chi_d(G)$ colors such that all monochromatic forbidden patterns are trivial. Then Lemma 4.2 tells us that the non-monochromatic protocol for c is valid in time $\lceil \log_2 \chi_d(G) \rceil + d$.

Corollary 4.4 (Upper bound for D(eval) in terms of $r_d(G)$).

$$D(\mathrm{eval}) \leq 2d \log_2 \frac{N}{r_d(G)}$$

Proof.

Putting Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 1.7 together, we get

$$D(\mathrm{eval}) \leq \left\lceil \log_2 \frac{2dN^d \log N}{r_d(G)} \right\rceil \leq 2d \log_2 \frac{N}{r_d(G)}$$

Randomised complexity of eval

Definition 5.1 (Randomised complexity of a protocol).

The communication complexity of a randomised NOF protocol P for F with error ϵ is the smallest time t such that

$$\mathbb{P}(x \mid P \text{ is not valid at time } t) \leq \epsilon$$

or ∞ if no such t exists.

Definition 5.2 (Randomised complexity of a function).

The randomised communication complexity of a function F with error ϵ , denoted $R_{\epsilon}(F)$, is the minimum of the randomised communication complexity of P when P ranges over all randomised NOF protocols.

Definition 5.3 (The randomised equality testing protocol for eval).

The randomised equality testing protocol for eval has domain $\Omega := (\text{Bool}^d)^{\lceil \log_2 \epsilon^{-1} \rceil}$ with the uniform measure and is defined by making participant *i* do "Compute

$$a_{i,k} = \sum_{j \neq i} \omega_{j,k} x_j$$

and send the sum of the digits of $a_{i,t/d} \mod 2$ at time t" and "Guess 1 iff the sum of the digits of $\omega_i x_i + what participant i said is 0 \mod 2$ ".

Lemma 5.4 (The randomised equality testing protocol for eval is valid).

The randomised equality testing protocol is valid for eval at time 2d.

Proof. If eval(x) = 1, then the protocol guesses correctly. Else it errors with probability

$$2^{-\lceil \log_2 \epsilon^{-1} \rceil} \le \epsilon$$

Theorem 5.5 (The randomised complexity of eval is constant).

$$R_{\epsilon}(\text{eval}) \leq 2d \lceil \log_2 \epsilon^{-1} \rceil$$

Proof.

By Lemma 5.4, the randomised equality testing protocol is valid for eval at time 2d.